## EXHIBIT A

## Case: 3:23 to proper for the property of the control of the contro

ECOLAB Inc., and NALCO COMPANY, LLC d/b/a Nalco Water, an Ecolab Company and/or Nalco Water,
Plaintiffs,
V.
JESSICA GRAILER,
Defendant.

No. 3:23-cv-00102

## **DECLARATION OF JAMES M. HUX, JR.**

I, James M. Hux, Jr., hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am over the age of 18 years old and do not suffer from any disabilities which would prevent me from giving and understanding this Declaration based on my personal knowledge.
- 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and could competently testify to them under oath if called as a witness.
- 3. I am an attorney with Fisher & Phillips LLP, counsel for Plaintiffs Ecolab Inc. and Nalco Company, LLC d/ba Nalco Water, an Ecolab Company in the above-captioned case.
- 4. On July 5, 2023, I participated in a meet and confer discovery conference with counsel for Defendant Jessica Grailer, during which, among other things, we discussed the request for audit log data. During the discovery conference, I did not refuse to produce the requested audit log data. Rather, I indicated that I would confer with Plaintiffs to determine whether Plaintiffs could produce a more fulsome report containing audit data from Grailer's Microsoft Office 365 account as requested by Defendant.
  - 5. Defendant's counsel's last communication relating to the audit log data prior to

filing the Motion Go Connect Was 010 Author 27, 2000 ment #: 73-1 Filed: 08/28/23 Page 3 of 3

- 6. On August 16, 2023, Plaintiffs produced an audit log file containing Grailer's Microsoft Office 365 log data for the period of January 8, 2023 through January 18, 2023. *See* Exhibit 1, Grailer Audit File.
- 7. On August 18, 2023, in a good faith effort to resolve the Motion to Compel, I responded to additional requests from Defendant's counsel. *See* Exhibit 2, August 18, 2023 Email from James Hux.
  - 8. Plaintiffs have requested that Defendant Grailer withdraw the Motion to Compel.
- 9. On August 23, 2023, Defendant attempted to impose additional burdens on Plaintiffs not required under Rule 34 through the signing of a sworn Stipulation drafted by Defendant's counsel, which contains Grailer's counsel's representations relating to the data with language relating to sanctions and attorneys' fees.

10. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true, and correct.

James M. Hux, Jr. 19. 2023

Date